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It is ironic that health care, which occupies nearly one-sixth of the U.S. economy and affects us all, sooner or later, in the most intimate and important ways, remains terra incognita for so many of us. It is like the vast blank spaces in maps from the Middle Ages. The boldest, strongest, most confident layperson falls silent and tiptoes in the corridors of a hospital, sometimes bowing the head as the white-coated doctors stride by. We depend on health care, but in it we feel like strangers.

Each year, I am reminded that health care is a mystery to most as I begin to teach a Harvard College undergraduate course called The Quality of Health Care in America, which has become one of my annual projects. Forty or 50 young people, most of them in their senior year, join my coprofessors and me in a semester-long exploration of what health care achieves and what it fails to achieve. Most of these smart, interested students are ignorant of even the most basic patterns: the flow of patients, the flow of money, and the nature of the institutions that shape care. Few can describe Medicare, and even fewer know the difference between it and Medicaid. Terms such as primary care, chronic disease, peer review, employer-based coverage, and evidence-based medicine have only the vaguest referents in their minds. Most students assume at the outset that most of medical care is effective, efficient, scientifically grounded, and safe—despite the consistent testimony to the contrary in health services research and from the National Academies of Science. The minority who have had personal experiences of care—usually at the bedside of a grandparent or unfortunate friend—can, with the slightest encouragement, surface questions, concerns, and even outrage at flaws they saw; but most of these students assume, incorrectly, that their experience was the exception in a system that generally works well.

They know that health care costs too much; after all, that’s in every morning newspaper. But they don’t know why. They don’t know where the money comes from, where it goes, or how efficiently it is used. They know little about international comparisons in either cost or quality, and they assume, like many Americans, that we have the
best health care on Earth, which is wrong. They know that millions
of us lack health insurance, but they don’t know how many or why.
They know that race and wealth are associated with unequal treat-
ment and variation in health status, but they do not know how vast,
unconscionable, and unnecessary those disparities are.

Some of these students will become doctors and nurses. The
majority will enter other professions and callings. But, without excep-
tion, every one will encounter health care in ways that significantly
affect their lives. There it will be, in the line on their paycheck stubs
deducting the insurance premium and the Medicare tax; in their visits
to the emergency department when they fall off their bicycles; in the
answers they will seek when they or a loved one gets short of breath
or loses weight; in the public debates among candidates; in the news
about the labor-management negotiations; in the feature articles on
fad diets; and in the editorials about malpractice reform, rationing, or
the federal deficit.

Those who do become health care professionals will, of course,
learn more about the system from inside. But their view, untended,
will be myopic, local, and distorted. They will know that the lab report
got lost, that the patients have been waiting too long, that the sched-
ule is jammed, and that the Medicare fees got cut; but most of them
won’t know why any more than the laypeople do. They will be fish in
water, who cannot understand water unless they get instruction.

This will not do. For a public so dependent on and concerned
about the performance of health care, now and in the future, or for
professionals and managers in health care so dependent on and con-
cerned about the systems that make it possible for them to find mean-
ing in their work, opacity about what health care is, how it works,
what it comprises, and where it came from is paralyzing. It precludes
reasonable expectations for change and effective action to make
change. Ignorance about health care generates frustrated clinicians,
angry patients, unaccountable politicians, and uninformed voters.

At its peak, the proper view—the proper knowledge—is a view of
and knowledge about the system as a whole. That is neither inborn
nor well-taught yet in U.S. health care. But that knowledge base is an
essential precondition for progress.

This is what makes this textbook such a treasure. It is in very
small company among available explications of the nature, compo-
nents, history, stakeholders, dynamics, achievements, and deficien-
cies in a system of gigantic size and equally gigantic complexities. The
editors are world-class scholars, and they have organized the writing
of an equally distinguished squad of contributors. In their hands,
many of the mysteries of health care dissolve into orderly and clear
frameworks, and the most important dynamics become visible.
Making health care in the United States become what it should become is too important and too difficult a job to be left to any one stakeholder, profession, institution, or change agent. It affects all of us, and, somehow, sometime, we will need to find the will to act in concert to rebuild it—laypeople and professionals, hospitals and ambulatory care, payers and consumers, executives and the workforce, and more. Concerted effort will have to begin on a foundation of clear knowledge of the system we will work to change, and, to gain that knowledge, few resources are as valuable as the masterful and sweeping overview that these pages contain.

Donald M. Berwick, MD, MPP
President and CEO, Institute for Healthcare Improvement
Organization of This Book
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Overview: The State of Health Care Delivery in the United States

James R. Knickman and Anthony R. Kovner

Learning Objectives

- Understand defining characteristics of the U.S. health care system.
- Identify issues and concerns with the current system.
- Understand the dynamics that influence efforts to improve the system.
- Recognize the importance of engagement of stakeholders to the prospects of improving U.S. health care.

Topical Outline

- The importance of health and health care to American life
- Defining characteristics of the U.S. health care system
- Major issues and concerns facing the health sector
- Constraints that make change difficult in health delivery
- The key importance of leadership for a strong health care system
In this initial chapter, we present an overview of the U.S. health care delivery system. To set the stage for the in-depth looks at key aspects and components of the system in the chapters to follow, this overview describes the distinguishing characteristics of our health care system and how it is organized to meet the needs of 301 million Americans. It introduces the key challenges that face leaders of the health sector as they try to make it an ever-improving enterprise. And it discusses the social context shaping health care in the United States. A central theme is that efforts to improve the system require the engagement of stakeholders: frontline doctors and nurses, middle-level managers, patients, and consumers.

The health enterprise is one of the most important parts of the U.S. social system. Our nation cannot be strong or wealthy and citizens cannot lead fully productive lives without good health (Figure 1.1S in supplemental section at the end of this chapter). Each of us has helped loved ones face significant health challenges. We all know how “life as we know it” stands still in the face of a life-threatening or an activity-limiting illness. Most of us would sacrifice almost anything to restore the health of a loved one; some of us are willing to pay higher taxes to make sure that all Americans—friends, relatives, neighbors, strangers—have the health care services they need.

This special significance of health in our lives makes careers in the health sector so important and so attractive. People interested in the health sector have the chance to benefit people directly, while working to improve the operations of a complex social enterprise. The service sector is one of the fastest growing parts of the U.S. economy, and health services are perhaps its most challenging and interesting component.

Defining Characteristics of the U.S. Health Care System

The health care system in the United States encompasses a sprawling set of activities and enterprises. Using the word system, in fact, is a stretch, because in many ways the enterprise involves many actors working nonsystematically to achieve diverse aims. But, like the “hidden hand” that economists claim guides our general
economic system, many fundamental forces keep individual actors working somewhat in tandem to produce and maintain health in our population.

Perhaps the first defining characteristic of the health enterprise is the distinction between activities directed at keeping people healthy and activities directed at restoring health once a disease or injury occurs. Keeping people healthy is the domain of the public health care system and the activities associated with behavioral health (Figure 1.2S). Public health (described in chapter 4) involves activities that work at the population level to keep us healthy: protecting the environment, making sure water supplies and restaurants and food are safe, and providing preventive health services, for example. Behavioral health (described in chapter 10) focuses on helping people make behavioral choices that improve or protect health: for example, not smoking, eating well, exercising, and reducing stress.

Once people become ill, the medical care sector takes over and delivers a wide variety of services and interventions to restore health. All too often, the medical care part of the system—which dwarfs the public health and behavioral health parts—ignores its potential to promote and maintain health (Figures 1.3S and 1.4S). One perplexing part of our health sector is that changing an individual’s behavior has much greater impact on health and mortality than does spending money on medical care. Despite excellent research evidence documenting the importance of healthy lifestyles, we spend nine times more on medical care than on public health and behavioral health.

Additional defining features of the U.S. health care system include:

1. the importance of institutions in delivering care. Hospitals, nursing homes, community health centers, physician practices, and public health departments all are complex institutions that have evolved over the past century to meet various needs (discussed in chapters 7, 8, and 9). Each type of institution has its traditions, strengths, weaknesses, and a defined role in the health enterprise.

2. the role of professionals in running the system. Many different types of professionals make the system work, and each type has distinct roles (discussed in chapters 12 and 14). Physicians, nurses, administrators, policy leaders, researchers, technicians of many types, and business leaders focused on technology and pharmaceuticals all play essential roles.

3. developments in medical technology, electronic communication, and new drugs that fuel changes in service delivery. Over
the past 20 years, advances in technology and technique have exploded, making it possible to aggressively intervene to restore health in ways that were not dreamed of a generation ago (discussed in chapters 11 and 13). New techniques in imaging, electronic communication, pharmaceuticals, and surgical procedures are remarkable. These advances, however, have added costs to the system and have made health care unaffordable for a growing percentage of the U.S. population.

4. the dysfunctional **financing** and **payment** system. The U.S. health care system is expensive to maintain; we spent $1.988 trillion on health care in 2005—one out of every six dollars spent in the economy (discussed in chapter 3). Most people have health insurance to pay for services when they become ill, but some 45 million Americans do not. These uninsured (and the substantial number of underinsured) face tremendous financial risk if they become ill or injured. In addition, the way hospitals, physicians, and other providers are paid has become very complex because of the role of insurance. Remarkably, efficient, effective care is not rewarded. For example, fee-for-service payment systems reward unnecessary diagnostic tests and treatments; further, there is almost no reimbursement incentive for providers to adopt electronic communication or implement electronic patient records (discussed in chapter 13).

These defining characteristics make the health care system a dynamic part of our lives, a key part of our economy, and a constant source of contention in our political system (discussed in chapter 5). Addressing the challenges of this system is worth the effort and deserves the attention of the best and brightest of each generation.
Major Issues and Concerns

The defining characteristics of the health sector, described above, suggest the key challenges that have been the focus of health care leaders’ attention in recent years. Briefly, they are:

1. **Improving quality**: Despite the large investments we make in the health care system, serious concerns about the quality of care have emerged in recent years (discussed in chapter 15 and shown in Figure 1.5S). Reliable studies indicate that between 44,000 and 98,000 Americans die each year because of medical errors. Other well-regarded studies show that fewer than half of people with mental health or substance abuse problems, asthma, or diabetes receive care known to be effective. Too often providers do not seem to have the knowledge or information they need to prescribe the correct treatment for their patients, even those with definite diagnoses. At times, people become lost in the large, cumbersome system we have constructed and do not receive the care they need. At other times, the lack of coordination between providers means that people receive duplicative and even counterproductive services.

2. **Improving access and coverage**: Too many Americans are uninsured, making care virtually unaffordable if they have a serious illness (discussed in chapter 16). People fail to get insurance coverage for many reasons, and political consensus about how to resolve this problem has not emerged over the past 20 years. Lack of coverage, however, is a peculiarly American problem. All other developed countries have public systems of insurance coverage or similar approaches to assuring that everybody can have the care they need (discussed in chapter 6). Many health leaders see the insurance challenge as the most important health issue facing our nation today. But even when people have insurance coverage, access to health care is not always easy. Many rural areas have shortages of health care professionals—especially doctors and dentists—and some services—especially specialist care, long-term care, and even hospital care (Figure 1.6S). Some services, such as mental health care, are woefully underfunded (Figure 1.7S). Immigrants face language barriers to getting effective care, and low-income groups, even when covered by public insurance programs, have a difficult time finding the services they need. As the country becomes more diverse, these types of access problems will become more acute (Table 1.1S).

3. **Keeping costs under control**: Expenditures on health care have been increasing much more quickly than expenditures in
the balance of the economy over the past 30 years (discussed in chapter 17). The explosion of expensive technology, the aging of the population, inflating salaries, and the growing prevalence of chronic conditions have made health care less and less affordable over time (Figure 1.8S). A key challenge is determining which new technology we can afford (and is worth the cost) and how to keep costs from growing too quickly. Cost increases clearly are at the heart of the access and coverage challenges outlined above. Unfortunately, leaders have not identified effective ways to keep costs under control. Reining in health care inflation remains one of the key challenges of the next 10 years—and not just for health care managers. The problem has become so acute that every sector of the U.S. economy has to be concerned about the impact of rising health care costs.

4. **Encouraging healthy behavior:** Avoiding illness and injury is the best way to keep health costs under control. Healthy behavior choices can help people avoid disease and injury. Using seat belts, getting preventive services, eating well, exercising, avoiding tobacco, and not using drugs or overusing alcohol are all central to health maintenance. It remains a challenge, however, to encourage healthy behavior. Most noticeably, we are in the middle of a disturbing obesity epidemic that has led to ever-increasing rates of diabetes and heart disease.

5. **Improving the public health care system:** We too often take for granted the safety of our water, food, and restaurants. And we fail to recognize the important roles the public health care system can play in preventive health, health education, environmental health, and prevention of bioterrorism. Perhaps because public health, when done effectively, is invisible (it avoids problems rather than fixes them), the United States has historically underinvested in public health. Making the case for better public health, providing adequate funding, and inspiring leading thinkers to take up public health careers is an ongoing challenge.

6. **Addressing social determinants of health:** Substantial inequalities in health status—rates of disease and death—exist across income groups, social classes, and ethnic groups. Given that most Americans believe we should have an equal opportunity approach to health maintenance, inequalities in health status are a key current challenge facing the health sector. In essence, however, the health care system can only help address inequalities to a certain degree. Some of the inequality is driven by social factors such as poverty and ineffective education systems.

7. **Strengthening the health workforce:** Recent years have seen acute shortages of nurses, primary care physicians, and long-term care providers (discussed in chapter 12). The health care system
must train and recruit the large and diverse cadre of workers that are needed to run health institutions. And *diverse* not only describes the number of roles within health care, but also the goal of achieving more ethnic and racial diversity in the work- 

force. Without talented and caring people agreeing to devote their careers to health services, the system cannot function.

8. **Encouraging more realistic expectations:** Consumers should expect and demand better quality and better efficiency from the delivery system. People also should recognize that their health is, to some degree, their own responsibility. To make this point, some analysts recommend that people should have to pay out of pocket for health problems caused by their own recklessness and should be rewarded for good health behavior. Some insurance companies already do this, offering lower premiums to people who do not smoke, are not obese, and have good driving records.

### Prospects for Change and Improvement

Will the next generation of health care leaders make progress on the challenges facing the 21st-century health care system? Or will the system continue to provide excellent care to some and inefficient, ineffective care to others? The reality is that some Americans lead healthy lives, and others do not; some Americans receive excellent health care, while others do not.

Our sense is that the prospects for positive change are striking. Technology—applied creatively—offers numerous opportunities for improving how the system operates. The aging of our population—with baby boomers moving into the senior citizen category—is likely to create political pressure for improvements. Americans look abroad and see that other countries have solved these problems in different ways and, while there are complaints, are generally more satisfied with their health systems (Table 1.2S). And large investments in health services research have resulted in growing consensus about how to improve the delivery system.

The constraints we face in making progress toward improving the service system are political and economic. On the political side, sharp disagreements exist about how to create efficiency and effectiveness in the system. Some people favor market principles that rely on economic incentives, competition, and the laws of supply and demand to allocate health care resources. They believe the government is a negative force in assuring that the health care system operates effectively.
Other analysts, however, believe that health care is different than other economic commodities in ways that make market forces ineffective in logically allocating resources. These people believe that efficiency could be improved with government interventions, reliance on nonprofit systems, and more government financing of certain aspects of health care. Working our way through these fundamental differences in ideology is an essential part of the effort to improve our health care system.

Health care raises profound questions about what kind of country we want the United States to be. Is health or some part of health care a right, just like public education for grades kindergarten through 12? Or is health care a capitalistic endeavor, albeit one with a significant public sector component? Which kind of society do Americans want to live in?

Another constraining force that makes improvement difficult is that the current system rewards so many people employed in the health care sector with high salaries and other perks. Corporations and interest groups that benefit from the current system will lobby intensely against any change they see as threatening their stake in the system. Thus, some observers have thrown up their hands and concluded that it would be much simpler to design an effective health care system from scratch than to make the incremental changes needed to strengthen the current system.

The Importance of Engagement at the Ground Level

The health care system challenges are exciting. The two authors have had the privilege of working for many years in a range of professional roles and to have been part of numerous efforts to improve health care delivery in the United States. We have seen both successes and failures. We remain optimistic that pragmatism, flexibility, consensus building, and attention to objective, high-quality information can work to bring about positive change. We remain stimulated by the challenge and pleased that we made the choice of devoting our careers to helping our nation maintain a viable and effective health care system.

Certainly, we have observed that best practices are now being implemented across a wide range of domains affecting health and health care in the United States and worldwide. How do we speed the process of getting more of the system, more individual professionals,
and more of our population engaged in best practices? Our text gives readers the information and some of the skills to do so.

The future of the U.S. health care delivery system will see improvements if committed and informed people choose to enter the field. It is our hope that this book provides a basis for future leaders to learn about the system and be stimulated to join the large cadre of professionals working to help Americans avoid preventable early death and serious illness and, if unavoidably sick and dying, to provide skillful care, compassion, and comfort.
This short section of charts pulls together basic information on a number of subjects that cut across many of the other chapters of this book, such as the first two charts reporting good news and influences on health, and, conversely, it includes issues such as mental health and oral health that are not covered in detail in any of the remaining chapters. They help round out the vision of U.S. health care pursued in depth in the individual chapters. Each of the charts has an important story to tell that will help orient readers for the detail to come.
GOOD NEWS ABOUT THE U.S. HEALTH CARE SYSTEM

The authors recognize that health care delivery in the United States is frequently presented as a glass half-empty story. People who work in health care are challenged (and sometimes frustrated) by the shortcomings in the system. Ironically, this is partly due to the tremendous accomplishments of the U.S. health care system. These advances make us long for more—to make access to care easier and cheaper, to overcome quality shortcomings, and, ultimately, to extend the benefits of better health to more Americans. Because we can see achievable goals, we want to reach them.

Before we ask readers to wade into many of the shortcomings of the system, consider the many accomplishments of the U.S. health system. Most important, dramatic improvements have been achieved in reducing death rates and increasing longevity. These benefits are largely due to the work of our health care and public health sectors in advocating reduced cigarette smoking; improving control of high blood pressure and other cardiovascular risk factors; greatly improved treatments of many types of cancer, HIV/AIDS, and other diseases; prevention of infectious disease; and improvements in the environment (reduced exposure to carcinogens and air pollution).
Good News About the U.S. Health Care System
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Key Charts

* Data are from 1990.
* Data are from 1983.

INFLUENCES ON HEALTH

An individual’s health is influenced by many factors. Some are present from birth (genetic factors, congenital conditions), others are present in environments in which we live (family structure, socioeconomic status, physical environment). Our health also is influenced by the choices we make—our diet, whether we smoke, the exercise we get—the behavioral factors discussed in chapter 10. And, finally, our health is affected by our interactions with the health care system—whether we receive preventive care, obtain effective treatment, and avoid problems caused by the health system itself (medical errors, hospital-acquired infections, and the like).

While genetic endowment may be crucially important in developing certain diseases, especially those that manifest themselves relatively early, for many chronic conditions it can be merely a predisposing factor—that is, one that may or may not affect health, depending on what else happens to us over our life times.

Ironically, much of what we spend on health care does not affect the factors most influential on health status.
Influences on Health
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GETTING THE PREVENTIVE CARE WE NEED

In recent years, a body of research has been building around the question of whether people receive the care they need. In some senses, this is an access-to-care question, and in other ways, it’s a quality-of-care question. The answer to this question has significant implications on the cost of care. In various chapters and contexts in this book, such research is mentioned. The research has addressed the extent to which people with specific illnesses obtain the treatments recommended for their condition; other research has focused on whether Americans receive recommended screening and preventive services.

The accompanying charts focus on preventive care. Much expert analysis has gone into developing a schedule of screening tests (for diseases such as breast, colon, and cervical cancer and for conditions such as high blood pressure) and services (such as immunizations), depending on an individual’s age and gender.1 Because the incidence of serious chronic diseases rises as people age, older Americans especially need such services. The charts show clearly that White Americans and those who have insurance and are financially well-off are more likely to receive preventive services. Equally striking is that, in the best case, hardly more than half of Americans, even higher-risk older Americans, receive all recommended preventive care.

---

1 See, for example, the work of the National Coordinating Committee for Clinical Preventive Services. Retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/bv.fcgi?rid = hstat6.section.4491
Percent of U.S. Adults Who Receive All Recommended Screening and Preventive Services, 2002

- National average: 49%
- 400% of poverty or higher: 56%
- 200%–399% of poverty: 48%
- Less than 200% of poverty: 39%
- Insured all year: 52%
- Uninsured part year: 46%
- Uninsured all year: 31%
Percent of Older U.S. Adults Who Receive All Recommended Screening and Preventive Services, 2002

THE CHANGING U.S. POPULATION

The racial and ethnic composition of the U.S. population is undergoing rapid change, and the nation’s health system will need to adapt. First, different population groups have somewhat different patterns of illness. These differences are particularly pronounced in immigrant families who bring with them dietary and other habits of their home country. Thus, some of the differences recede with later generations, and the immigrants’ grandchildren begin to experience disease patterns similar to those of the U.S. population in general—for better or worse. (Hereditary diseases linked to particular racial or ethnic groups do not evolve in this way. For example, Tay-Sachs disease continues to affect Eastern Europeans and Ashkenazi Jews almost exclusively, and sickle cell anemia remains primarily a disease of African Americans.)

Another way that population changes affect the health care system is around the question of health literacy. The U.S. health care system is extremely complex and—especially for people with limited resources in terms of insurance, income, education, or English—difficult to access. As more health care decisions and responsibilities are placed on consumers and as more post-hospital care takes place in the home environment, the situation can become acute for anyone who is not adequately helped to understand his or her role and supported in carrying it out. Again, the problems of health literacy are likely to be worse for immigrants and lessen as these individuals, or their children, begin to be more like the rest of the country.

Finally, while we call our nation a melting pot, social critics have suggested our society is less like tomato soup, every spoonful the same, and more like beef stew, with recognizable individual components. Some individuals are more comfortable dealing with other individuals like themselves and seek out health care professionals from their racial or ethnic group. For this reason, diversity in our health care workforce is important to good patient care; conversely, the many health professions facing shortages should look to the full spectrum of ethnic groups in order to expand the pool of new entrants. For people who do not speak English well, having a health professional who can speak to them in their language is an important—sometimes difficult to achieve—goal.
Table 1.1S  Current and Projected Makeup of the U.S. Population, 2000–2050

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Percentage</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2040</th>
<th>2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QUALITY VERSUS COSTS OF CARE

Americans might accept their higher costs of care if they believed their expenditures were buying them quality. But too many indicators suggest they are not. The landmark Institute of Medicine analyses of the quality of U.S. health care (To Err Is Human, 2000, and Crossing the Quality Chasm, 2001) are cited numerous times in this volume. If the average American is not aware of these academic assessments of health care problems, they have seen them in their own lives.

The public is not wrong about this issue. This chart shows how, for three major, common conditions of Americans over 65—heart attack, colon cancer, and hip fracture—average hospitalization costs vary by more than 50%, from just under $20,000 per case to well over $30,000. (Each dot on the chart represents a standardized hospital referral region.) Unfortunately, quality varies about as widely. The average quality (measured by survival after 1 year) was set at 1.0, and, naturally, many regions were under that average, and many were above.

If there were a relationship between the amount spent and the quality of care (survival) achieved, the dots on this chart would follow a slanted line—low expenditures and low survival, rising to higher expenditures and higher survival. In fact, we see a confusing picture. Many hospitals that spend less than the average demonstrate better outcomes, and this group includes several of the best-performing hospitals. By contrast, many hospitals that spend markedly more than the average have worse-than-average outcomes. (Note that these data have been adjusted to account for population differences—that is, sicker patients—in different hospital referral regions.)
Quality and Costs of Care by Hospital Referral Regions, 2000–2002

* Indexed to risk-adjusted 1-year survival rate (median = 0.70) for Medicare patients with heart attack, colon cancer, and hip fracture.

ORAL HEALTH CARE

Oral health encompasses not just the teeth, but diseases affecting the gums, mouth, and throat, including cancers of these areas. Although community water supply fluoridation has reduced the number of cavities (caries) for many younger Americans, one-fourth of people over age 60 have lost all their natural teeth.

Tooth decay is not merely a cosmetic issue. Bad teeth are painful and put people at a serious social and employment disadvantage. Oral health needs were the top concern of uninsured Americans as far back as the 1995 National Access to Care Survey.²

Increasingly, researchers recognize that the mouth is a window into the health of the whole body. For example, diabetes—one of the worst manifestations of the national obesity epidemic—often shows up first in the gums. Gum disease may play a role in the development of heart disease, the nation’s number one killer.³ It also may keep healthy women from having healthy babies by causing premature delivery and fetal growth problems.

While Figure 1.6S notes an overall untreated caries rate of 22%, note that this is less than half the rate of three decades ago: in 1971–1974, almost half (48.3%) of children ages 2 to 17 had untreated caries.

³ People with severe gum disease are more likely to have had a heart attack, gum disease bacteria have been found in the linings of arteries, and animals with gum disease bacteria are susceptible to blockages in their arteries. See, for example, Sabine O. Geerts et al., 2004, Further Evidence of the Association Between Periodontal Conditions and Coronary Artery Disease, Journal of Periodontology, 75, 1274–1280; and Yong-Hee P. Chun et al., 2005, Mini-review: Biological Foundation for Periodontitis as a Potential Risk Factor for Atherosclerosis, Journal of Periodontal Research, 40, 87–95.
Untreated Dental Caries, by U.S. Population Group, 1999–2002

THE IMPACT OF MENTAL DISORDERS

One of the neglected stories of U.S. health care is the prevalence of mental health disorders. According to the National Institute of Mental Health, mental disorders are the leading cause of disability for Americans ages 15 to 44, and, in any given year, one in four adults—some 57.7 million Americans—has a diagnosable mental disorder. (About 1 in 17 has what would be classified as a serious mental illness.) Similarly, according to 2005 data, one in four patients admitted to U.S. hospitals suffers from depression, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, other mental health disorders, or substance use.\(^4\)

The World Health Organization estimates that in developed countries such as the United States, 15% of the burden of disease (defined as years of life lost to both premature mortality and disability) is caused by mental illnesses—more than the burden caused by all cancers combined. Recent research indicates that American adults with serious mental illnesses die about 25 years earlier than Americans in general and that the majority of these premature deaths are due to preventable conditions, such as heart disease, diabetes, and respiratory illnesses.\(^5\)

The most serious and common mental disorders affecting U.S. adults are depression and other mood disorders (affecting 10%), which are closely linked to suicide (in 2004, more than 32,000 Americans committed suicide); schizophrenia, affecting about 1%; anxiety disorders (panic disorder, posttraumatic stress, phobias, and so on), affecting 18%; and Alzheimer’s disease, affecting 4.5 million and rapidly increasing in prevalence. Comorbidity is common: 45% of people with one mental disorder actually have two or more, which increases the severity of effects and the complexity of treatment. Diagnosis and treatment of mental disorders affecting children—attention deficit disorder, hyperactivity, the spectrum of autism—is increasing and remains controversial.

Despite the large numbers, less than half of Americans with serious mental illnesses receive treatment, with the proportion much less for minorities and the poor. The impact of mental disorders on quality of life—and length of life—make adequate treatment all the more important.

---


Figure 1.7S

Proportion of Americans With Serious Mental Illnesses Who Received Treatment in the Previous Year, 2003

COSTLY MEDICAL CONDITIONS

The five medical conditions that cost Americans the most in out-of-pocket expenditures are heart diseases, cancer, trauma, mental disorders, and lung conditions. In 2002, cancer was the costliest of these at $4,462, but lung conditions were the most common, with just over 50 million Americans having expenses for these disorders. Heart conditions were the second-costliest problem ($3,434), and just under 20 million Americans were affected. More than 31 million Americans had expenses related to mental disorders, averaging more than $1,500. Personal expenditures at these levels, which are rising rapidly, foster dissatisfaction with current insurance coverage among a large numbers of Americans.
Figure 1.8S

The Five Costliest Conditions, United States, 2002

Number of people with expenses (in millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Affected (in millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary conditions</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental disorders</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart condition</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average out-of-pocket expenditures per person affected (in 2002 $)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Expenditure (in 2002 $)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary conditions</td>
<td>$901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental disorders</td>
<td>$1,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma</td>
<td>$1,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>$4,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart condition</td>
<td>$3,434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Authors in this volume have cited numerous problems with the U.S. health care system—problems in quality; lack of attention to long-term care despite the growing number of older, chronically ill, and disabled Americans; inadequate emphasis on population health through public health programs, lack of access to care, and rising costs.

For many Americans, the problems have become increasingly acute in recent years—so much so that they believe the system (or nonsystem, critics would say) must be completely rebuilt. U.S. policymakers, however, continue to tinker around the edges, and most national politicians focus on the issue of insurance reform, not the many other underlying problems. Some innovative state efforts (for example, Maine’s Dirigo Health, http://www.dirigohealth.maine.gov) have attempted reforms that simultaneously address access, quality, and costs.

Although people in many developed countries express dissatisfaction with their nation’s health care system, Americans are the most dissatisfied. Further, people who have the most health care experience—that is, those who are sicker—tend to be the most dissatisfied. This suggests that their concerns are not theoretical, but are based on actual negative experience, compared to expectations. U.S. health policymakers should be looking to other countries to understand how their health systems differ from ours and why their citizenry is more satisfied.
### Table 1.2S

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent Who Believe the Health Care System Should Be Completely Rebuilt</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among those who experienced a medical error</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among those who reported failures in coordination of care</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among those who avoided care because of cost</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>